	Case 3:17-md-02801-JD Document 16	58 Filed 03/10/22 Page 1 0i 5	
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6 7			
8			
9			
10			
11	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
12	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION		
13			
14			
15	IN RE CAPACITORS ANTITRUST LITIGATION	MDL No. 3:17-md-02801-JD Case No. 3:14-cv-03264-JD	
16	THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:	[PROPOSED] FINAL JUDGMENT OF DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE AS TO	
17 18	ALL INDIRECT PURCHASER ACTIONS	SHINYEI DEFENDANTS	
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	[Proposed] Final Judgment of Dismissal with Prejudice as		
	MDL No. 3:17-md-02801-JD; Case No. 3:14-cv-03264-JD		

This matter has come before the Court to determine whether there is any cause why this Court should not enter Final Judgment as to Shinyei Technology Co., Ltd. and Shinyei Capacitor Co., Ltd. (together, "Shinyei"). The Court, having reviewed (1) the Settlement Agreement between Plaintiffs and In Home Tech Solutions, Inc., individually and on behalf of the Indirect Purchaser Class they seek to represent, on the one hand, and Shinyei, on the other hand, dated June 4, 2021 ("Settlement Agreement"), (2) Indirect Purchaser Plaintiffs' Motion for Final Approval of Settlements with the Shinyei and Taitsu Defendants, (3) the pleadings and other papers on file in this Action, and (4) the statements of counsel and the parties, hereby finds no just reason to delay the entry of Final Judgment under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure ("Rule") 54(b). Accordingly, the Court directs entry of Judgment, which shall constitute a final adjudication of the above-captioned action ("Action") on the merits as to Shinyei in accordance with the terms of the Settlement Agreement.

Good cause appearing therefore:

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, AJUDGED, AND DECREED THAT:

- 1. The Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this litigation, the actions within this litigation, and the parties to the Settlement Agreements, including all members of the Settlement Class.
- 2. For purposes of this Judgment, except as otherwise set forth herein, the Court adopts and incorporates the definitions contained in the Settlement Agreement as though they were fully set forth in this Final Judgment. Specifically, "Class," as defined in the Settlement Agreement, means:

All persons and entities in the Indirect Purchaser States (as defined herein) who, during the period from January 1, 2002 to February 28, 2014, purchased one or more Capacitor(s) from a distributor (or from an entity other than a Defendant) that a Defendant or alleged co-conspirator manufactured. Excluded from the Class are Defendants; their parent companies, subsidiaries and Affiliates; any co-conspirators; Defendants' attorneys in this Action; federal government entities and instrumentalities, states and their subdivisions; all judges assigned to this Action; all jurors in this Action; and all Persons who directly purchased Capacitors from Defendants.

"Indirect Purchaser States" means California, Florida, Michigan, Minnesota, Nebraska, and New York.

- 3. Those persons and entities identified in the list attached hereto as **Exhibit A** are validly excluded from the Class. Such persons and entities are not included in or bound by this Judgment. Such persons and entities are not entitled to any recovery of the settlement proceeds obtained in connection with the Settlement Agreement.
- 4. The Court hereby dismisses on the merits and with prejudice IPPs' claims against Shinyei, with each party to bear their own costs and attorneys' fees, except as provided in the Settlement Agreement.
- 5. All persons and entities who are Releasors under the terms of the Settlement Agreement are hereby barred and enjoined from commencing, prosecuting, or continuing, either directly or indirectly, any claim against the Releasees, as defined in the Settlement Agreement, in this or any other jurisdiction arising out of, or related to, any of the Released Claims.
- 6. The Releasees are hereby and forever released from all Released Claims as defined in the Settlement Agreement.
- 7. Without affecting the finality of this Judgment in any way, this Court hereby retains continuing jurisdiction over:
 - a. implementation of these settlements and any distribution to Class members pursuant to further orders of this Court;
 - b. disposition of the Settlement Fund;
 - determining attorneys' fees, costs, expenses, interest and Class Representative incentive awards;
 - d. the Action until Final Judgment contemplated hereby has become effective;
 - e. hearing and ruling on any matters relating to the plan of allocation of settlement proceeds; and
 - f. all parties to the Action and Releasing Parties, for the purpose of enforcing and administering the Settlement Agreement and the mutual releases and other documents contemplated by, or executed in connection with, the Agreement.
- 8. This document constitutes a final judgment and separate documents for purposes of Rule 58(a).

Case 3:17-md-02801-JD Document 1658 Filed 03/10/22 Page 4 of 5

1	9. The Court finds that, pursuant to Rule 54(a) and (b), Final Judgment should be		
2	entered and further finds that there is no just reason for delay in the entry of Final Judgment, as		
3	to the parties to the Settlement Agreements. Accordingly, the Clerk is hereby directed to enter		
4	Final Judgment forthwith.		
5			
6	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
7			
8	Dated:, 2022		
9	JAMES DONATO United States District Judge		
10	Office States District Judge		
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

EXHIBIT A

Requests for Exclusion from Shinyei Settlement

<u>Name</u>	Exclusion Request Timely
James Tylman	Yes
Dell Inc. on behalf of itself and its wholly-owned subsidiaries	Yes
William B Higinbotham	Yes